

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Albina Head Start Inc.		
County: Multnomah		
Scoring position: 8		
Slots Requested: 539		
Report Date: May 15, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 143; Extended Duration: 42; P3: 56		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
419 Extended Duration 112 P3 Center-based	8 P3 Home-based	
ELD Staff Briefing: Operationally ready, staffing ready, experienced with extended duration. 8 home-based; 112 center-based; minor improvements to facilities, nearly ready.		
OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u> Use this space to document Committee discussion related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – there are extensive service area agreements within the county and other grantees in the area. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Clackamas County Children's Commission		
County: Clackamas		
Scoring position: 10		
Slots Requested: 443		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 150; Extended duration: 38; P3: 51		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
94 Extended Duration 56 P3	8 P3	
ELD Staff Briefing: All facilities ready for extended duration. 56 Center-based, 8 Locally Designed Option; ready.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Clackamas ESD/Head Start to Success		
County: Clackamas		
Scoring position: 18		
Slots Requested: 222		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 130; Extended Duration: 37		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
118 Extended Duration		
ELD Staff Briefing: Agreements for facilities in place.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Community Action Organization		
County: Washington County		
Scoring position: 9		
Slots Requested: 559		
Report Date: May 15, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 148; Extended Duration: 39; P3: 53.		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
72 Extended Duration	37 P3 Home-base or LDO.	
ELD Staff Briefing: Existing facilities to be used, others confirmed; ready for extended duration. 37 Home Based slots; socialization facilities in hand; ready for home-based P3 services.		
OPK Review Committee Recommendation:		
<u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u>		
Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Community Action Team Inc.		
County: Clatsop/Columbia/Tillamook		
Scoring position: 21		
Slots Requested: 229		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 110, Extended duration: 36; P3: 50		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
133 Extended duration	60 P3 home base	
ELD Staff Briefing: Partnerships in place for extended duration. 60 HB; socialization, community partnerships in evidence.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Community Services Consortium		
County: Lincoln		
Scoring position: 16		
Slots Requested: 58		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base 132		
Proposed Priorities: N/a		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
ELD Staff Briefing: Asking for continuation and salary enhancements only.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde		
County: Polk/Yamhill		
Scoring position: 25		
Slots Requested: 6		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores Base: 101; P3 54		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
6 P3		
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities in hand.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Eastern Oregon University Head Start		
County: Union, Baker		
Scoring position: 2		
Slots Requested: 112		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 159; Ext. Duration: 42; P-3: 52		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
ELD Staff Briefing: High readiness; OPK extended duration connected with PSP application request. P3: 40 Home-based; socialization spaces in evidence, no other early Head Start in area.		
OPK Review Committee Recommendation:		
<u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u>		
Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – Priority from Review Committee is for prenatal – 3 children only, no priority recommendation on extended duration. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Family Building Blocks		
County: Marion/Polk		
Scoring position: 20		
Slots Requested: 108		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 121; P3: 50		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
	108 P3	
ELD Staff Briefing: 60 Home Base 48 Locally Designed; ready.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: Since Family Building Blocks is a Relief Nursery, is this proposal in line with their vision? Program has mechanisms in place for exiting out of program. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Harney ESD Region XVII		
County: Harney		
Scoring position: 22		
Slots Requested: 60		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores Base: 122 ; Extended Day:30 ; P3 N/A		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
20 Extended duration.		
ELD Staff Briefing: Current facilities in hand, ready for extended duration.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Head Start of Lane County		
County: Lane		
Scoring position: 28		
Slots Requested: 575		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 99; P3: 34		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
	48 P3	
ELD Staff Briefing: 40 Home Base 8 Locally Designed; facilities ready		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Head Start of Yamhill County		
County: Yamhill		
Scoring position: 13		
Slots Requested: 237		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores Base: 141		
Proposed Priorities: N/a		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
ELD Staff Briefing: Requesting continuation, no new slots/funding.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Kids & Company of Linn County: Kidco Head Start		
Counties: Linn, Benton		
Scoring position: 6		
Slots Requested: 150		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 151; Extended Duration: 41; P3: 52		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
97 Extended Day 45 P3		
ELD Staff Briefing: Reducing overall enrollment by 112 (from 217 to 105). 97 will be in duration. (Adding 45 OPKPT slots.) Facilities in hand for P3.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – based on their application they listened to the needs of their community and responded to the need. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: Did they apply for Preschool Promise as well? No. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Klamath Family Head Start		
County: Klamath		
Scoring position: 24		
Slots Requested: 249		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 113, Extended Duration 29, P3: P3 45		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
16 Extended Duration 32 P3		
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities in hand for extended duration. Lease agreement, blueprints ready for remodel, ready for P3.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – Clarification on mapping/service area agreements are in relation to federal award. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: Recommendation: adding Lake County to counties served. Question: N. Lake County, who is serving? • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Malheur County Child Development Center		
County: Malheur		
Scoring position: 26		
Slots Requested: 75		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores Base: 107; Extended Duration 33; P3: 47		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
43 Extended Duration 32 P3		
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities in place for extended duration; facilities and playgrounds in hand; minor construction and playground improvements.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: OCDC Serves R12 Seasonal/Migrant Head Start in Malheur County but no OPK. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Mt. Hood Community College Head Start		
County: Multnomah		
Scoring position: 3		
Slots Requested: 657		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 151; Extended Duration: 40; P3: 59		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
327 Extended Duration 8 P3	18 Extended Duration 24 P3 LDO	
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities ready for 327; some under renovation; adding one classroom. 8 family child care slots; 24 LDO with mix of center and home visiting; Agreements in place with church and school.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Mid-Columbia Children's Council		
County: Wasco, Hood River, Jefferson		
Scoring position: 12		
Slots Requested: 120		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 142; Extended Duration: 40; P3: 50		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
94 Extended Duration 16 P3	10 P3 Home base	
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities, staff, licensing in place for extended duration. Adding two classrooms; ordering materials for P3.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Mid-Willamette Valley Community Action Agency		
County: Marion/Polk		
Scoring position: 23		
Slots Requested: 793		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores 116 base.		
Proposed Priorities: N/a, applying for continuation and salary enhancements.		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
ELD Staff Briefing		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Neighborhood House		
County: Multnomah		
Scoring position: 11		
Slots Requested: 186		
Report Date: May 15, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 143; Extended Duration: 39; P3: 54.		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
117 Extended duration 27 P3	6 P3 Home base.	
ELD Staff Briefing: Existing and/or leased facilities; ready for extended duration. P3: 27 Center-based & 6 Home Based; facilities ready. Ready to serve P3 in their OPK service area.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: NeighborImpact		
County: Crook, Deschutes		
Scoring position: 4		
Slots Requested: 658		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 153; Extended Duration: 41; P3: 55.		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
	166 P3 Center-based 95 Extended Duration	
ELD Staff Briefing: Adding 97 extended day (95 part day, 166 OPKPT). Working on facilities plan. 166 center-based, nearly ready.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score - Very strong application, only population in Tier 3 compared to other similar applications. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware - Review Committee has requested additional information to determine facility readiness. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant - Request Additional Information to determine facility readiness. 5/19/20 ELD received requested information. • Comments/Questions: Kelly Poe – motion to move Extended Duration Tier 3 to Tier 2. Have a strong application. Tammie seconds, Chelsea in agreeance, Yolanda in agreeance, Teasha in agreeance, Sara in agreeance with reservations. • Revisions/Objections: Move Extended Duration Tier 3 Priority to Tier 2. 		

Draft OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: North Central ESD		
County: Sherman/Wheeler		
Scoring position: 30		
Slots Requested: 21		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 94		
Proposed Priorities: N/A Applying for continuation and salaries only.		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
ELD Staff Briefing		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Oregon Child Development Coalition				
County: Washington, Multnomah, Marion, Polk, Jackson, Klamath, Malheur				
Scoring Position: 19				
Slots Requested: 901				
Report Date: May 15, 2020				
Application Scores: Extended Duration: 126; Extended Duration 39; P3: 43				
Proposed Priorities				
County	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	ELD Briefing Notes
Washington	236 Extended Duration 92 P3			Existing facilities, ready to serve extended duration. Facilities in hand. Ready to serve P3 in their OPK service area.
Marion	134 Extended Duration	78 Extended Duration 14 P3		Existing facilities; almost all classrooms ready, or needing construction expected to be complete by August; mostly ready to serve extended duration. Socialization ready. Ready to serve P3 in their OPK service area.
Multnomah			19 Extended Duration 16 P3	Facilities ready, but lacks service area coordination agreement, lacks evidence of community partnership which is basis for the grant. Facilities ready, but lacks service area coordination agreement, lacks evidence of community partnership which is basis for the grant.
Klamath	40 Extended Duration		40 Extended Duration 32 P3	Facilities ready but service area coordination agreements not in place for proposed new services; lacks evidence of community partnership in Chiloquin.

				Malin facilities in hand; proposed Malin services appears to conflict with submitted service area coordination agreement; Klamath Community College not part of submitted service area coordination agreement.
Jackson	60 Extended Duration 16 P3			Facilities needing minor improvements (underway). Facilities in hand. Ready to serve P3 in their OPK service area.
Malheur			32 P3	Facilities nearly ready; submitted agreement does not reference geographic boundaries; submitted map conflicts with MCCDC's federal service area, has not been agreed to by MCCDC. Additional information: do not have an agreement with MCCDC.
Polk			16 P3	Submitted map conflicts with Family Building Block's federal service area, has not been agreed to by FBB.

OPK Review Committee Recommendation:

The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.

Washington: Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:

- Rationale for modifying score – no score modification.
- Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details.
- Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none.
- Comments/Questions: None.
- Revisions/Objections: None.

Marion: Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:

- Rationale for modifying score – no score modification.
- Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details.
- Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none.
- Comments/Questions: None.
- Revisions/Objections: None.

Multnomah: Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:

- Rationale for modifying score – no score modification.

- Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details.
- Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none.
- Comments/Questions: None.
- Revisions/Objections: None.

Klamath: Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:

- Rationale for modifying score – no score modification.
- Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – as a state, need to work with HS programs to ensure all children are served with accurate service area agreements in effect.
- Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – Yes, did not receive information that indicated a completed service area agreement.
- Comments/Questions: Request service area agreement is in place. Klamath Community College does not appear in service area agreement, Malin is included in new service area agreement.
- Revisions/Objections: None.

Jackson: Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:

- Rationale for modifying score – no score modification.
- Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – very nice facility.
- Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none.
- Comments/Questions: None.
- Revisions/Objections: None.

Malheur: Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:

- Rationale for modifying score – no score modification.
- Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – No service area agreement with Malheur CDC.
- Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none.
- Comments/Questions: New role of coordinated enrollment will hopefully increase communication to provide better services.
- Revisions/Objections: None.

Polk: Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:

- Rationale for modifying score – no score modification.
- Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details.
- Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none.
- Comments/Questions: None.
- Revisions/Objections: None.

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Oregon State University/Child Development Center		
County: Benton		
Scoring position: 27		
Slots Requested: 43		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 116; Extended Duration: 27		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
43 Extended Duration		
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities in hand, ready.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Portland Public Schools		
County: Multnomah		
Scoring position: 1		
Slots requests: 463		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 138; Ext Duration: 42; P3: n/a		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
37 Ext. Duration		
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities, staff, infrastructure ready.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Salem-Keizer School District		
County: Marion		
Scoring position: 7		
Slots Requested: 394		
Report Date: May 15, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 149; Extended Duration: 40; P3: n/a.		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
156 Extended Duration		
ELD Staff Briefing: All sites confirmed for extended duration.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: South Coast Head Start (Oregon Coast Community Action)		
County: Coos, Curry, coastal Douglas.		
Scoring position: 5		
Slots Requested: 265		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 148; Extended Duration: N/a; P3: 58.		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
18 P3	4 P3	
ELD Staff Briefing: 4 home-based slots, 18 center-based; facilities nearly ready with minor improvements.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Southern Oregon Child & Family Council Inc.		
County: Jackson, Josephine		
Scoring position: 15		
Slots Requested: 564		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores Base: 133; Extended Duration 45; P3 39		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
107 Extended Duration 9 P3		
ELD Staff Briefing: P3: toddler classroom ready. Facilities in place, ready for extended duration.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. • applicant 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: The Children's Learning Center		
County: Jefferson		
Scoring Position: 17		
Slots Requested: 128		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 138; Extended Duration 33; P3 44		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
24 Extended Duration 20 P3		
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities ready to go for both extended duration and P3.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

Draft OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: Umatilla-Morrow Head Start, Inc.		
County: Gilliam/Grant/Morrow/Sherman/Umatilla/Union/Wallowa		
Scoring position: 29		
Slots Requested: 375		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores Base: 112; Extended Duration: 18; P3: 36		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
70 P3		16 P3
ELD Staff Briefing: Most facilities ready; two classrooms likely not ready by September.		
OPK Review Committee Recommendation:		
<u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u>		
Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – Requesting additional information about extended duration request. • Comments/Questions: What counties are the prenatal-3 slots in? 32 in Umatilla, 16 in Morrow, 6 in Gilliam, 8 in Union, 8 in Wallowa. Have HS services, no EHS yet. • Revisions/Objections: None. 		

OPK Review Committee Report

Applicant: United Community Action Network		
County: Douglas		
Scoring position: 14		
Slots Requested: 243		
Report Date: May 18, 2020		
Application Scores: Base: 142; Extended Duration: 35; P3: 53.		
Proposed Priorities		
Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
38 Extended Duration 16 P3	10 P3	
ELD Staff Briefing: Facilities in place, ready for extended duration. P3 facilities ready.		
<p>OPK Review Committee Recommendation: <u>The Review Committee values each locally-designed option and wants to see those valued in their communities.</u></p> <p>Use this space to document Committee discussion related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for modifying score – no score modification. • Specific details which the Committee wants the public or the Early Learning System Director to be aware – no details. • Any additional information requested and/or received from the applicant – none. • Comments/Questions: None. • Revisions/Objections: None. • 		