



CHILD CARE RESOURCE & REFERRAL REQUESTS FOR APPLICATIONS

Scoring Results

Review of RFA Process

- Purpose
- Development of RFA
 - CCR&R Advisory Committee: ELC Executive Committee
 - Framework: Professional Development and Community Collaboration
 - Geographical regions
 - Funding Formula: reflective equilibrium: Multnomah & Eastern
 - Evaluation & Scoring

CCR&R RFA Development Guiding Principles


Service Delivery Design

- Closer Alignment with Early Learning Hubs: Hubs will work with 1 CCR&R
- Economy of Scale: adequate number of Child Care Providers for operational infrastructure

Funding Formula

- Professional Development: 80%
- Community Collaboration: 20%
- Cultural and linguistic diversity in providers & children in care
- Reflective Equilibrium

Number of providers per county & SDA



Timeline of Negotiations & Contracts



- Announcement of Awards: May 25, 2017
- Contract Negotiations: Completed by July 14, 2017
- Contracts Signed: September 30, 2017
- New Programs Begin: October 1, 2017

Evaluation Process

- Seven members of review team
 - 5 internal & 2 partners
- Conflict of interest clearance
- Trainings on rubrics and rating system
- 3 Meetings: check in and final scoring tabulation
- 2 reviewers for each applicant
- Scores averaged and rated with negotiating points
 - Exceeds: 308-232
 - Acceptable: 231-157
 - Inconsistent: 156-78
 - Not Acceptable: 77-0

Evaluation Reference Points

Strengths

- Applicants provided great detail with examples
- Data were included to demonstrate need
- Reviewers were able to clearly see applicant exceeded expectations in narratives

Negotiation Points

- More detail is needed on how strategies will be implemented
- Lack of examples and/or data to demonstrate need
- Descriptions state what and may not include how

SDA Multnomah

Mt. Hood Community College

Strengths

Score: 268/Exceeds

- Connections to community
- Consumer engagement
- Organizational Structure
- Clear, detailed and comprehensive plan

Negotiation Points

- Finalize budget

SDA Marion-Polk-Yamhill

Mid-Willamette Valley Community Action Agency

Strengths

Score: 252.5/Exceeds

- Connections with partners
- Equity Assessment Plan
- Recruitment strategies
- Collaboration with Hub

Negotiation Points

- More detail in budget:
 - In-kind sources
 - Salaries and operating costs
- Finalize budget

SDA Washington

Community Action Organization

Strengths

Score: 218/Acceptable

- Promote retention
- Community collaboration
- Partnerships

Negotiation Points

More detail on how these will be implemented:

- Recruitment strategies
- Training & Professional Development Plan strategies
- Consumer Education strategies
- Finalize budget

SDA Clackamas

Clackamas ESD

Strengths

Score: 216/Acceptable

- Training & Professional Development:
 - Use of community expertise

Negotiating Points

More detail needed:

- Training & Professional Development: affordable & accessible; reaching desert and rural areas.
- Budget: match and FTE; ensure budget matches plans
- Finalize budget

SDA North Coast: Clatsup, Columbia, Tillamook North West Regional ESD

Strengths

Score: 251/Exceeds

- Strong, detailed overall plan
- Training & Professional Development
- Learning Organization

Negotiation Points

More details needed:

- Promote retention: concrete strategies
- Community collaboration: measurable goals
- Organizational excellence: staffing details
- Finalize budget

SDA Linn-Benton-Lincoln

Linn Benton Community College

Strengths

Score: 272.5/Exceeds

- Connections with Partners
- Equity Assessment Plan
- Organizational Infrastructure & Excellence

Negotiation Points

More detail needed:

- Community collaboration specifically with Early Learning Hub
- Finalize budget

SDA Lane

Lane Community College

Strengths

Score: 242/Exceeds

- Equity Assessment Plan
- Cultural and linguistic responsiveness throughout
- Organizational Excellence

Negotiation Points

More detail needed:

- Training and Professional Development strategies
- Consumer engagement strategies
- Finalize budget

SDA South Coast: Coos, Curry Southwest Oregon Community College

Strengths

Score: 264/Exceeds

- Clear, detailed comprehensive plan
- Recruitment
- Training & Professional Development
- Community Collaboration

Negotiation Points

- Finalize budget

SDA South Central: Douglas, Klamath, Lake Douglas ESD

Strengths

Score: 201.5/Acceptable

- Connection with community college

Negotiation Points

More detail needed:

- Collaboration
- Equity & Ethics
- Organization
- Consumer Education
- Staff Qualifications
- Finalize budget

SDA Southern: Jackson, Josephine Southern Oregon ESD

Strengths

Score: 242/Exceeds

- Clear, detailed comprehensive plan
- Collaboration
- Organization
- Training & Professional Development
- Staff Qualifications

Negotiation Points

- Finalize budget

SDA The Gorge: Gilliam, Hood River, Sherman, Wasco, Wheeler
Columbia Gorge Community College

Strengths

Score: 245.5/Exceeds

- Partnerships in rural regions
- Collaboration with Early Learning Hub
- Recruitment
- Relationship-based professional development strategies

Negotiation Points

More detail needed:

- Organization
- Consumer Engagement strategies
- Staff qualifications
- Finalize budget

SDA Central: Crook, Deschutes, Jefferson NeighborImpact

Strengths

Score: 238/Exceeds

- Collaboration
- Partnerships
- Recruitment
- Training & Professional Development

Negotiation Points

More detail needed:

- Equity Self Assessment Plan implementation
- Finalize budget

SDA Eastern: Baker, Grant, Harney, Malheur, Morrow,
Umatilla, Union, Wallowa
Umatilla Morrow Head Start

Strengths

Score: 216.5/Acceptable

- Collaboration
- Connection in communities
- Training & Professional Development

Negotiation Points

More detail needed:

- Recruitment
- Collaboration with Tribal families and providers
- Organizational Excellence
- Finalize budget