EARLY LEARNING COUNCIL

QRIS Standards Revision Process

David Mandell, Acting Early Learning System Director Dawn Woods, Child Care Director

November 16, 2016

QRIS Goals

- Promote practices that promote positive culturally responsive child development, kindergarten readiness, professional development and strong family engagement
- Provide guidance, supports and resources to early learning programs to promote positive child development and strong family engagement
- Provide an opportunity for early learning programs to shine and demonstrate their strengths
- Provide information to parents about early learning programs
- Create a common framework for early learning programs that supports public investments in diverse settings and programs

The history of QRIS

□ Framework of the QRIS

- Standards
- Process for monitoring standards
- Process for supporting quality improvement
- Financial supports and incentives
- Information to families
- Race To the Top funded
 - Development and Field Test
 - Validation Study

Standards Development

Grounded in national standards & research based practices

National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC)

The standard for center based early childhood education programs.

National Association for Family Child Care (NAFCC)

The only nationally recognized accreditation for family child care providers.

Head Start Performance Standards

Comprehensive standards that ensure quality in programs nationwide.

The NAEYC, NAFCC and Head

Start performance standards were reviewed and incorporated with Oregon in mind, creating a tiered system of standards higher than licensing and leading to high levels of quality while remaining achievable and cost effective for programs.

What we heard from the field: standards

- Too many standards
- Need for streamlined and simplified language
- Need for more focus on cultural responsiveness throughout domains and standards
- Desire for the ability to get partial credits or points for the quality work
- □ Challenges in meeting personnel qualifications
- No opportunity to receive credit or points for experience

What we heard from the field: process

- Materials ought to be written in plain language and available in more languages
- Quality Improvement Specialist supports are critical
- Provide feedback on the portfolio along the way
- Provide samples of submissions
- Shorten the review process

What we learned from the validation study

- What is the quality of programs in the QRIS Validation Study, as indicated by CLASS scores and QRIS ratings?
- How highly correlated are the QRIS domains and standards with one another?
- How well do programs' QRIS ratings differentiate observed quality of adult-child interactions?
- How do certain QRIS standards & indicators of interest relate to observed quality?
- How well are other personnel measures associated with observed quality and final QRIS ratings?

Potential Guiding Principles

- Focus on standards that have the greatest impact on children and families with the least burden on providers
- Build QRIS to be a support for children, families and providers furthest from opportunity
- Revisions should reflect both what research tells us and what we have heard from communities
- Cultural responsiveness should be reflected throughout the standards and tiers

Preliminary recommendations for standards revisions

- Greatly reduce the number of standards, focusing on those with the most impact on positive child development and family engagement;
- Consider eliminating the Business Administration domain and consolidating Health and Safety under licensing and other domains;
- Ensure that cultural responsiveness is more meaningfully reflected across domains and across tiers;
- Revise personnel qualifications, streamline process for aggregating them across a program and provide opportunity to document and reward experience;
- Allow programs to receive partial or bonus credit by moving to a point or hybrid system (rather than the current "block" system where all standards must be fully met before moving to the next tier);
- Provide some differentiation of standards for programs serving different ages (e.g., infant-toddlers, after-school programs).

Process & timeline for QRIS revisions

- January, 2017: Draft principles for QRIS revision brought to the ELC
- February, 2017: Draft principles brought to the Equity Implementation Committee and Child Care and Education Committee
- □ March, 2017: ELC adopts principles for QRIS revision
- April, 2017: Staff/WOU work team revise standards in accordance with principles
- □ May, 2017: ELC briefed on revised standards
- □ June, 2017: ELC adopts revised QRIS standards

QRIS Rule-making

- Entity responsible for final determination of QRIS standards
- Entity responsible for final determination of QRIS rating for a program
- □ Process for appealing a QRIS rating
- □ Process for revoking a QRIS rating
- □ Process for appealing a revocation of a QRIS rating
- Role of licensing standards in QRIS
- Process for programs governed by tribal governments to participate in QRIS

QRIS Rule-making timeline

- □ January, 2017: CCEC briefed on QRIS and need for rules
- □ February, 2017: CCEC rule development work session
- March, 2017: CCEC develops recommended rules; ELC briefing on need for QRIS rules
- April, 2017: CCEC final rule development work session; ELC first reading of rules
- May, 2017: ELC adopts QRIS rules